



Community Impact Grant Evaluation Tool

Community Impact Grants - Program Year: January 1, 2025 - December 31, 2025

Through the Community Impact fund, United Way of Northern New York (UWNNY) provides funding support to a wide range of human service programs. The grant application process is competitive, as community needs outweigh available resources. Priority is given to organizations and proposals that:

- Focus on underserved and underrepresented populations and / or unmet needs.
- Utilize a collaborative approach to service delivery.
- Serve working households defined as ALICE (Asset Limited, Income Constrained, and Employed) and/or those living in poverty.

Objective of Community Impact Fund

To support programs providing services to residents of Jefferson, Lewis and/or St. Lawrence Counties that align with at least one of the primary areas of focus:

Social Determinants of Health (SDoH) – interventions / strategies addressing SDoH, including, but not limited to, access to nutrition, housing, healthcare, literacy / language, education, and transportation.

Child / Youth Success – programming that provides vital supplies and support necessary to academic success, growth and development, and a healthy successful transition into adulthood.

Economic Stability – short- and long-term strategies to help people gain or maintain stability, including job skills training, soft skills development, and support to those at risk of falling into poverty.

Community Review Process

- 1. Application Submission Eligible organizations submit grant applications through an online portal by the specified deadline (August 31, 2024).
- 2. Internal Review Upon submission, applications undergo an initial review by UWNNY staff to ensure completeness and eligibility based on the criteria outlined in the grant guidelines.
- 3. Assignment to Reviewers Eligible applications are then assigned to a diverse group of volunteer reviewers, representing various community sectors, including business, education, healthcare, public sector, and graduates of the "Getting Ahead in a Just-Gettin'-by World" workshop series.
- 4. Individual Review Each application is reviewed based on the standardized scoring rubric. To ensure a balanced and fair assessment and minimize individual biases, each application is reviewed by multiple volunteers independently with individual scores averaged to produce a final score. For those applicants that receive recurring funding, staff assess the applicant's commitment to partnership with UWNNY.
- 5. Community Review Panel Meetings After individual reviews are completed and tallied, review panels convene to discuss the evaluations. These panels provide an opportunity for reviewers to share insights, ask questions, and deliberate on the applications collectively.
- 6. Recommendations Based on the final averaged scores and panel discussions, the review panels make funding recommendations which are submitted to the UWNNY Board of Directors. The Board makes the final decision on grant awards, ensuring alignment with organizational goals and priorities.
- 7. Award Notification / Feedback Applicants are notified of funding decisions. Successful applicants receive detailed information about their grant award, reporting requirements, and partnership expectations. Grantees are required to sign the partnership agreement and submit all requested documentation. Upon request, feedback is provided to applicants, including those not selected for funding, to support continuous improvement and future application efforts.

Community Impact Grant Scoring Rubric

The following tool is designed for use by volunteer grant reviewers. Each section is scored along a continuum of 1 through 5, with 1 being insufficient and 5 being exemplary. Reviewers are encouraged to provide comments and feedback for each score to support the evaluation process. The question regarding applicant commitment to partnership with UWNNY will be scored by UWNNY staff.

FOR ALL APPLICATION	ONS
---------------------	-----

1.	Reviewer Name:
2.	Applicant (Organization / Program Name):

3. Please rate the alignment of the proposal with the specified area(s) of focus (listed above).

1 – Insufficient	2 – Developing	3 – Satisfactory	4 – Strong	5 – Exemplary
The proposal lacks a	The proposal could	The proposal	The proposal provides	The proposal clearly
clear connection to the	benefit from a stronger	demonstrates a	a clear connection	demonstrates how the
area(s) of focus.	connection to the	reasonable alignment	between the project /	program / project
	area(s) of focus.	with the area(s) of	program and the	directly addresses the
		focus.	area(s) of focus, with	area(s) of focus.
			room for fine-tuning.	

4. Please rate the clarity and coherence of the proposal in effectively communicating the program/project and its intended goals, strategies, and outcomes.

1 – Insufficient	2 – Developing	3 – Satisfactory	4 – Strong	5 – Exemplary
The application lacks	The application is	The application is	The application is well-	The application is
clear organization and	somewhat organized,	generally well-	structured and	exceptionally well-
coherence. It does not	but there are areas	organized and presents	provides a clear	organized, clear, and
effectively	where further	a clear overview of the	overview of the	comprehensive. It
communicate the	clarification or detail is	project. It provides	project. It effectively	provides a thorough
goals, strategies, or	needed. Some aspects	sufficient information	communicates the	understanding of the
anticipated outcomes.	of the goals, strategies,	about the goals,	goals, strategies, and	goals, strategies, and
There may be	or anticipated	strategies, and	anticipated outcomes.	anticipated outcomes.
significant gaps or	outcomes may not be	anticipated outcomes.	The content is	All necessary
confusion in the	fully explained.	Additional detail or	comprehensive but	information is included,
content.		clarity could strengthen	minor additional detail	and there is a clear and
		the application.	could enhance the	logical flow to the
			application.	content.

5. Please rate the proposal's specificity regarding expected outcomes, as well as the understanding of the potential impact of the project.

1 – Insufficient	2 – Developing	3 – Satisfactory	4 – Strong	5 – Exemplary
The proposal does not	The proposal lacks	The proposal generally	The proposal	The proposal provides
provide clear, specific,	specificity regarding	outlines expected	demonstrates a good	a clear and detailed
or measurable	expected outcomes	outcomes, but there	understanding of the	description of the
expected outcomes.	and impact. While	may be areas where	potential impact, but	expected outcomes
There is a lack of	there is some	greater specificity or	some outcomes could	and demonstrates a
understanding or	indication of potential	detail is needed.	be more specific or	thorough
articulation of the	impact, the outcomes		well-defined.	understanding of the
potential impact of the	are not well-defined or			potential impact.
project.	measurable.			

6. Please rate the organization's capacity to successfully implement the project.

1 – Insufficient	2 – Developing	3 – Satisfactory	4 – Strong	5 – Exemplary
The organization lacks	The organization's	The organization has	The organization has	The organization
the necessary capacity	capacity to implement	the necessary capacity	the basic capacity, but	demonstrates strong
to successfully	the project is	to implement the	there may be areas	capacity, including
implement the project.	somewhat uncertain.	project, but there may	where additional	financial stability,
The proposal does not	There are areas where	be areas that could	support or resources	experienced staff, and
provide sufficient	additional resources or	benefit from additional	are needed. The	appropriate
evidence of the	support will be crucial	resources or support.	proposal demonstrates	infrastructure to
organization's ability to	for successful	The proposal provides	a good foundation for	successfully implement
carry out the project	implementation. The	reasonable evidence of	project	the project. The
effectively.	proposal highlights	the organization's	implementation, with	proposal provides
	some capacity, but	capacity.	some room for	robust evidence of the
	there are significant		improvement in certain	organization's ability to
	gaps.		areas of capacity.	carry out the project
				effectively.

7. Please rate the clarity, realism, and alignment of the program/project budget with the stated goals and activities.

1 – Insufficient	2 – Developing	3 – Satisfactory	4 – Strong	5 – Exemplary
The budget is unclear,	The budget is	The budget is	The budget is generally	The budget is well-
unrealistic, or does not	somewhat unclear or	reasonable and aligns	sound, but there may	structured, reasonable,
align with the program	may contain unrealistic	with the program /	be areas where further	and aligns closely with
/ project goals and	elements. There are	project goals and	explanation or	the program / project
activities. There is a	areas where additional	activities. Some line	justification is needed.	goals and activities.
lack of evidence or	explanation or	items may benefit from	Overall, it aligns well	Expenses are clearly
justification for the	justification is needed	further clarification or	with the program /	justified, and there is
proposed expenses.	to demonstrate	detail.	project goals and	evidence of careful
	alignment with the		activities.	financial planning.
	program / project			
	goals.			

8. Is the amount of funding requested greater than \$5,000?

If YES, answer questions 9 through 14. If NO, skip to question 13 and 14.

ADDITIONAL METRICS FOR APPLICATIONS IN EXCESS OF \$5,000

9. Please rate how well the proposal explains how the organization distinguishes itself from potential counterparts offering similar programming.

1 – Insufficient	2 – Developing	3 – Satisfactory	4 – Strong	5 – Exemplary
The proposal indicates	The proposal	The proposal provides	The proposal includes a	The proposal includes a
a lack of understanding	acknowledges the	a satisfactory	well-articulated	clear, compelling
or articulation of how	presence of similar	explanation of how the	explanation of how the	explanation of how the
the organization	organizations, but the	organization differs	organization differs	organization
distinguishes itself from	explanation of how the	from their	from their	distinguishes itself from
potential counterparts.	organization differs	counterparts, though	counterparts,	others, highlighting
	may be somewhat	there may be room for	highlighting key	unique services,
	vague or	further detail or	differentiators that set	approaches, or target
	underdeveloped.	specificity.	it apart.	populations served.

10. Please rate how well the proposal addresses collaborations with other organizations and describes the impact on client success.

1 – Insufficient	2 – Developing	3 – Satisfactory	4 – Strong	5 – Exemplary
The proposal does not	The proposal	The proposal presents	The proposal provides	The proposal provides
adequately address or	acknowledges	a reasonable list of	a thorough list of	a comprehensive list of
list collaborating	collaborations with	collaborating	collaborating	collaborating
organizations, or it	other organizations,	organizations and	organizations and	organizations and
provides no indication	but the list or	provides a satisfactory	offers a well-articulated	offers a detailed and
of specific collaborative	explanation may be	explanation of how	description of how	compelling description
efforts. There may be a	somewhat limited or	these collaborations	these collaborations	of how these
lack of understanding	lacking detail. The	improve client success.	contribute to client	collaborations enhance
or articulation of the	description of	There may be areas	success. It	the probability of
benefits of	collaborative efforts	where further detail or	demonstrates a clear	success for clients. It
collaboration.	and their impact on	specificity could	understanding of the	highlights specific
	client success may be	enhance the	benefits of	collaborative efforts,
	somewhat vague or	description.	collaboration.	strategies, and
	underdeveloped.			outcomes achieved.

11. Based on your review of the organizational budget and accompanying financial documents, please rate the organization's overall financial health and sustainability.

1 – Insufficient	2 – Developing	3 – Satisfactory	4 – Strong	5 – Exemplary
Based on my review of	Based on my review of			
the financial	the financial	the financial	the financial	the financial
documents, there are	documents, there are	documents, it appears	documents, there are	documents, the
concerns about the	areas where the	that the organization is	strong signs that the	organization excels in
organization's financial	organization can	managing its finances	organization is doing	managing its finances.
health. It may struggle	improve its financial	reasonably well. It	well financially. It has	It showcases a diverse
to maintain a diverse	health. It may be	shows efforts to	diverse income	range of income
income, have	working to diversify its	diversify income,	sources, maintains a	sources, maintains a
difficulties covering	income sources and	maintain a balanced	balanced budget, and	balanced budget, and
expenses in its budget,	balance its budget, but	budget, and achieve a	consistently achieves a	consistently achieves a
and might not be	more progress is	positive bottom line,	positive financial	positive bottom line,
operating with a	needed to ensure	demonstrating some	outcome, ensuring	ensuring long-term
positive financial	sustainability and a	level of sustainability.	sustainability.	sustainability.
outcome.	positive financial			
	outcome.			

12. Please evaluate the organization's innovative, entrepreneurial, and "outside the box" efforts to address community challenges.

1 – Insufficient	2 – Developing	3 – Satisfactory	4 – Strong	5 – Exemplary
The proposal does not	The proposal indicates	The proposal indicates	The proposal indicates	The proposal indicates
highlight the	that the organization is	that the organization	that the organization	that the organization
organization's efforts to	making some initial	demonstrates	exhibits strong	excels in innovative,
address community	strides in innovatively	reasonable innovation,	innovation,	entrepreneurial, and
challenges lack	and entrepreneurially	entrepreneurship, and	entrepreneurship, and	"outside the box"
innovation,	addressing community	"outside the box"	a propensity for	efforts to address
entrepreneurship, and	challenges. However,	thinking in addressing	"outside the box"	community challenges.
"outside the box"	there is room for	community challenges.	thinking in addressing	It consistently employs
thinking. There is little	further development	Efforts are in place, but	community challenges.	inventive,
evidence of creative or	and more "outside the	there may be	Creative approaches	entrepreneurial, and
unconventional	box" thinking.	opportunities for	are evident and	unconventional
approaches.		expansion or	contribute to effective	strategies that lead to
		refinement.	problem-solving, often	significant positive
			with unique	outcomes and
			perspectives.	innovative solutions.

FOR ALL APPLICATIONS

13. Based on your knowledge of the organization and the contents of the proposal, please rate how well the program addresses community need(s), demonstrates a return on investment and makes use of funds entrusted to the United Way.

1 – Insufficient	2 – Developing	3 – Satisfactory	4 – Strong	5 – Exemplary
The program	There are some	The program has the	The program	The program effectively
inadequately addresses	indications that the	potential to address	adequately addresses	addresses community
community need(s) and	program addresses	community need(s),	community need(s),	need(s), offers an
offers little in the way	community need(s) and	offers a solid return on	offers a strong return	exceptional return on
of return on	offers a reasonable	investment, and	on investment, and	investment, and
investment. There may	return on investment.	deploys a thoughtful	demonstrates good	demonstrates a high
be uncertainties	However, there may be	approach to utilizing	stewardship of the	level of effectiveness in
regarding the	areas where further	funds entrusted to the	funds entrusted to the	utilizing funds
program's effectiveness	detail or clarification is	United Way.	United Way.	entrusted to the United
in utilizing funds	needed to ensure			Way.
entrusted to the United	effective use of funds			
Way.	entrusted to the United			
	Way.			

14. Please share any comments relating to the applicant or the proposed program / project.	